



On the complexity of solving ordinary differential equations in terms of Puiseux series

Ali Ayad

► To cite this version:

Ali Ayad. On the complexity of solving ordinary differential equations in terms of Puiseux series. 2007. hal-00146203

HAL Id: hal-00146203

<https://hal.science/hal-00146203>

Preprint submitted on 15 May 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the complexity of solving ordinary differential equations in terms of Puiseux series

Ali AYAD

Campus scientifique de Beaulieu, IRMAR
Université Rennes 1, 35042, Rennes, France
ali.ayad@univ-rennes1.fr

Abstract

We prove that the binary complexity of solving ordinary polynomial differential equations in terms of Puiseux series is single exponential in the number of terms in the series. Such a bound was given by Grigoriev [10] for *Riccati* differential polynomials associated to ordinary linear differential operators. In this paper, we get the same bound for arbitrary differential polynomials. The algorithm is based on a differential version of the Newton-Puiseux procedure for algebraic equations.

Introduction

In this paper we are interested in solving ordinary polynomial differential equations. For such equations we are looking to compute solutions in the set of formal power series and more generality in the set of Puiseux series. There is no algorithm which decide whether a polynomial differential equation has Puiseux series as solutions. We get an algorithm which computes a finite extension of the ground field which generates the coefficients of the solutions. Algorithms which estimate the coefficients of the solutions are given in [12, 13].

In order to analyse the binary complexity of factoring ordinary linear differential operators, Grigoriev [10] describes an algorithm which computes a fundamental system of solutions of the *Riccati* equation associated to an ordinary linear differential operator. The binary complexity of this algorithm is single exponential in the order n of the linear differential operator. There are also algorithms for computing series solutions with real exponents [11, 1, 8, 2] and complex exponents [8].

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[\eta]$ be a finite extension of a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} . The variables T_1, \dots, T_l are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} and η is an algebraic element over the field $\mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$ with the minimal polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_l][Z]$. Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K and consider the two fields:

$$L = \cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*} K((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}})), \quad \mathcal{L} = \cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*} \overline{K}((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}}))$$

which are the fields of fraction-power series of x over K (respectively \overline{K}), i.e., the fields of Puiseux series of x with coefficients in K (respectively \overline{K}). Each element $\psi \in L$ (respectively $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$) can be represented in the form $\psi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} c_i x^i$, $c_i \in K$ (respectively $c_i \in \overline{K}$). The

order of ψ is defined by $\text{ord}(\psi) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Q}, c_i \neq 0\}$. The fields L and \mathcal{L} are differential fields with the differential operator

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\psi) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} i c_i x^{i-1}.$$

Let $F(y_0, \dots, y_n)$ be a polynomial on the variables y_0, \dots, y_n with coefficients in L and consider the associated ordinary differential equation $F(y, \frac{dy}{dx}, \dots, \frac{d^n y}{dx^n}) = 0$ which will be denoted by $F(y) = 0$. We will describe all the solutions of the differential equation $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} by a differential version of the Newton polygon process. First write F in the form:

$$F = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha \in A} f_{i,\alpha} x^i y_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad f_{i,\alpha} \in K$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$ belongs to a finite subset A of \mathbb{N}^{n+1} . The order of F is defined by $\text{ord}(F) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Q}; f_{i,\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ for a certain } \alpha\}$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that each coefficient $f_{i,\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_l][\eta]$ and so it can be written in the form

$$f_{i,\alpha} = \sum_{j,j_1,\dots,j_l} b_{j,j_1,\dots,j_l} T_1^{j_1} \cdots T_l^{j_l} \eta^j, \quad b_{j,j_1,\dots,j_l} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We define the degree of F w.r.t. x by $\deg_x(F) = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Q}; f_{i,\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ for a certain } \alpha\}$ (it can be equal to $+\infty$), the degree of F w.r.t. T_1, \dots, T_l by $\deg_{T_1,\dots,T_l}(F) = \max\{\deg_{T_1,\dots,T_l}(f_{i,\alpha}); i \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha \in A\}$. We denote by $l(b)$ the binary length of an integer b . The binary length of F is defined by $l(F) = \max\{l(f_{i,\alpha}); i \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha \in A\}$ where $l(f_{i,\alpha})$ is the maximum of the binary lengths of its coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . We can define in the same manner the degrees and the binary length of ϕ . To estimate the binary complexity of the algorithm of this paper we suppose that $\deg_Z(\phi) \leq d_0$, $\deg_{T_1,\dots,T_l}(\phi) \leq d_1$, $l(\phi) \leq M_1$, $\deg_{y_0,\dots,y_n}(F) \leq d$, $\deg_{T_1,\dots,T_l}(F) \leq d_2$, $\deg_x(F) \leq d_3$ (d_3 can be equal to $+\infty$) and $l(F) \leq M_2$.

In the sequel, we will use the following quantities: for each $i \in \mathbb{Q}$, let

$$R(i) = d_2(dd_0d_1)^{O(il)}$$

and

$$S(i) = n^i d_2(dd_0d_1)^{O(il)} (M_1 M_2)^{O(i)} \log_2^i(dd_3).$$

We can now describe the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 0.1 *Let $F(y) = 0$ be a polynomial differential equation with the above bounds. There is an algorithm which computes all Puiseux series solutions of $F(y) = 0$ with coefficients in \bar{K} , i.e., all solutions of $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} . Namely, for each solution $\psi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} c_i x^i \in \mathcal{L}$ of $F(y) = 0$, the algorithm computes an integer $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Q}$, it computes a finite extension $K_1 = K[\theta]$ of K where θ is an algebraic element over K computed with its minimal polynomial $\Phi \in K[Z]$ such that $\sum_{j \leq i, j \in \mathbb{Q}} c_j x^j \in K_1((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}}))$. Moreover, for any $j \leq i$, $j \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have the following bounds:*

$$- \deg_Z(\Phi) \leq d^i.$$

- $\deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(\Phi), \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(c_j) \leq R(i)$.
- $l(\Phi), l(c_j) \leq S(i)$.
- The binary complexity of this computation is $S(i)$.

Remark 0.2 *i) By the corollary of Lemma 3.1 of [11], the integer ν of Theorem 0.1 is constant, i.e., independent of i . This constant depends only on the solution ψ .*

ii) In general, we cannot compute a finite extension K_1 of K which contains all the coefficients of all the solutions of $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} either an integer $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^$ such that all the solutions of $F(y) = 0$ (in \mathcal{L}) are in $K_1((x^{\frac{1}{\nu}}))$. Namely, if we consider the polynomial*

$$F(y_0, y_1, y_2) = xy_0y_2 - xy_1^2 + y_0y_1$$

then $\psi = cx^\mu$ is a solution of $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$.

In a forthcoming paper we try to get polynomial binary complexity for computing Puiseux series solutions of polynomial differential equations as in the algebraic case [5, 7].

The paper is organized as follow. In section 1, we give a description of the Newton polygon associated to polynomial differential equations. Operations on these Newton polygons will be discussed in section 2. The algorithm with its binary complexity analysis are described in section 3.

1 Newton polygons of polynomial differential equations

Let F be a differential polynomial as in the introduction. We now define the Newton polygon of F . For every couple $(i, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Q} \times A$ such that $f_{i, \alpha} \neq 0$ (i.e., every existing term in F) we mark the point

$$P_{i, \alpha} := (i - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by $P(F)$ the set of all the points $P_{i, \alpha}$. The convex hull of these points and $(+\infty, 0)$ in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(F)$ and is called the Newton polygon of the differential equation $F(y) = 0$ in the neighborhood of $x = 0$. If $\deg_{y_0, \dots, y_n}(F) = m$, then $\mathcal{N}(F)$ is situated between the two lines $y = 0$ and $y = m$. For each $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ we define the set

$$N(F, a, b) := \{(u, v) \in P(F), \forall (u', v') \in P(F), \quad au' + bv' \geq au + bv\}.$$

A point $P_{i, \alpha} \in P(F)$ is a vertex of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(F)$ if there exist $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $N(F, a, b) = \{P_{i, \alpha}\}$. We remark that $\mathcal{N}(F)$ has a finite number of vertices. A pair of different vertices $e = (P_{i, \alpha}, P_{i', \alpha'})$ forms an edge of $\mathcal{N}(F)$ if there exist $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $e \subset N(F, a, b)$. We denote by $E(F)$ (respectively $V(F)$) the set of all the edges e (respectively all the vertices p) of $\mathcal{N}(F)$ for which $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$ in the previous definitions. It is easy to prove that if $e \in E(F)$, then there exists a unique pair $(a(e), b(e)) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\text{GCD}(a(e), b(e)) = 1$, $a(e) > 0$, $b(e) \geq 0$ and $e \subset N(F, a(e), b(e))$. By the inclination of a line we mean the negative inverse of its geometric slope. If $e \in E(F)$, we can prove that

the fraction $\mu_e := \frac{b(e)}{a(e)} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the inclination of the straight line passing through the edge e . If $p \in V(F)$ and $N(F, a, b) = \{p\}$ for a certain (a, b) , then the fraction $\mu := \frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the inclination of a straight line which intersects $\mathcal{N}(F)$ exactly in the vertex p .

For each $e \in E(F)$ we define the univariate polynomial (in a new variable C)

$$H_{(F,e)}(C) := \sum_{P_{i,\alpha} \in N(F,a(e),b(e))} f_{i,\alpha} C^{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} (\mu_e)_1^{\alpha_1} \dots (\mu_e)_n^{\alpha_n} \in K[C],$$

where $(\mu_e)_k := \mu_e(\mu_e - 1) \dots (\mu_e - k + 1)$ for any positive integer k . We call $H_{(F,e)}(C)$ the *characteristic* polynomial of F associated to the edge $e \in E(F)$. Its degree is at most $m = \deg_{y_0, \dots, y_n}(F) \leq d$.

If $\psi \in \mathcal{L}$ is a solution of the differential equation $F(y) = 0$ such that $\text{ord}(\psi) = \mu_e$, i.e., ψ has the form $\psi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}, i \geq \mu_e} c_i x^i$, $c_i \in \overline{K}$, then we have that $H_{(F,e)}(c_{\mu_e}) = 0$, i.e. c_{μ_e} is a root of the polynomial $H_{(F,e)}$ in \overline{K} . This condition is called a necessary initial condition to have a solution of $F(y) = 0$ in the form of ψ (see Lemma 1 of [2]). In fact, $H_{(F,e)}(c_{\mu_e})$ equals the coefficient of the lowest term in the expansion of $F(\psi(x))$ with indeterminates μ_e and c_{μ_e} . Let $A_{(F,e)} := \{c \in \overline{K}, c \neq 0, H_{(F,e)}(c) = 0\}$.

For each $p = (u, v) \in V(F)$, let $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ be the inclinations of the adjacent edges at p in $\mathcal{N}(F)$, it is easy to prove that for all rational number $\mu = \frac{b}{a}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N(F, a, b) = \{p\}$, we have $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2$. We associate to p the polynomial

$$h_{(F,p)}(\mu) := \sum_{P_{i,\alpha} = p} f_{i,\alpha} (\mu)_1^{\alpha_1} \dots (\mu)_n^{\alpha_n} \in K[\mu],$$

which is called the *indicial* polynomial of F associated to the vertex p (here μ is considered as an indeterminate). Let $H_{(F,p)}(C) = C^v h_{(F,p)}(\mu)$ defined as above for edges $e \in E(F)$. Let $A_{(F,p)} := \{\mu \in \mathbb{Q}, \mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2; h_{(F,p)}(\mu) = 0\}$.

Remark 1.1 Let $p = (u, v) \in V(F)$ and e be the edge of $\mathcal{N}(F)$ descending from p , then $h_{(F,p)}(\mu_e)$ is the coefficient of the monomial C^v in the expansion of the characteristic polynomial of F associated to e .

2 Some operations on Newton polygons of differential polynomials

2.1 Relation between Newton polygons of a differential polynomial and its partial derivatives

Let F be a differential polynomial as in the introduction. Write F in the form

$$F = F_0 + \dots + F_d$$

where $F_s = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}, |\alpha| = s} f_{i,\alpha} x^i y_0^{\alpha_0} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}$ is the homogeneous part of F of degree s with respect to the indeterminates y_0, \dots, y_n , $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n$ is the norm of α . Then the ordinate of any point of $P(F_s)$ is equal to s and

$$P(F) = \cup_{0 \leq s \leq d} P(F_s).$$

Let $0 \leq j \leq n$. If there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that for all $1 \leq s \leq d$ (such that $F_s \neq 0$), $D_{s,j} := \deg_{y_j}(F_s) \geq k$ then we can prove that $P(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k})$ is a translation of $P(F)$ defined by the point $(kj, -k)$, i.e.,

$$P\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}\right) = P(F) + \{(kj, -k)\} \text{ and then } \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}\right) = \mathcal{N}(F) + \{(kj, -k)\}.$$

For any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, we have

$$N\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}, a, b\right) = N(F, a, b) + \{(kj, -k)\}.$$

Thus the edges of $\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}\right)$ are exactly the translation of those of $\mathcal{N}(F)$. For each $e \in E\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}\right)$, its *characteristic* polynomial is

$$H_{\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}, e\right)}(C) = \sum_{P_{i,\alpha} \in N(F, a(e), b(e))} f_{i,\alpha} C^{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - k} (\alpha_j)_k (\mu_e)_1^{\alpha_1} \dots (\mu_e)_j^{\alpha_j - k} \dots (\mu_e)_n^{\alpha_n} \in K[C].$$

For each $p \in V\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}\right)$, its *indicial* polynomial is

$$h_{\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_j^k}, p\right)}(\mu) = \sum_{P_{i,\alpha} = p} f_{i,\alpha} (\alpha_j)_k (\mu_e)_1^{\alpha_1} \dots (\mu_e)_j^{\alpha_j - k} \dots (\mu_e)_n^{\alpha_n} \in K[\mu].$$

Let $0 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq n$. If there exist integers $k_1, k_2 \geq 1$ such that for all $1 \leq s \leq d$, $D_{s,j_2} \geq k_2$ and $\deg_{y_{j_1}}\left(\frac{\partial^{k_2} F_s}{\partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}\right) \geq k_1$ then

$$P\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F}{\partial y_{j_1}^{k_1} \partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}\right) = P(F) + \{(k_1 j_1 + k_2 j_2, -k_1 - k_2)\}$$

and then

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F}{\partial y_{j_1}^{k_1} \partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}\right) = \mathcal{N}(F) + \{(k_1 j_1 + k_2 j_2, -k_1 - k_2)\}.$$

For any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, we have

$$N\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F}{\partial y_{j_1}^{k_1} \partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}, a, b\right) = N(F, a, b) + \{(k_1 j_1 + k_2 j_2, -k_1 - k_2)\}.$$

For each $e \in E\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F}{\partial y_{j_1}^{k_1} \partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}\right)$, its *characteristic* polynomial is $H_{\left(\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} F}{\partial y_{j_1}^{k_1} \partial y_{j_2}^{k_2}}, e\right)}(C) =$

$$\sum_{P_{i,\alpha} \in N(F, a(e), b(e))} f_{i,\alpha} C^{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - k_1 - k_2} (\alpha_{j_1})_{k_1} (\alpha_{j_2})_{k_2} (\mu_e)_1^{\alpha_1} \dots (\mu_e)_{j_1}^{\alpha_{j_1} - k_1} \dots (\mu_e)_{j_2}^{\alpha_{j_2} - k_2} \dots (\mu_e)_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Lemma 2.1 *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that for all $1 \leq s \leq d$ (such that $F_s \neq 0$) and for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ we have $D_{s,j} \geq k$. For any $e \in E(F)$, the k -th derivative of $H_{(F,e)} \in K[C]$ is given by the formula*

$$H_{(F,e)}^{(k)}(C) = \sum_{0 \leq k_0, \dots, k_n \leq n} (\mu_e)_1^{k_1} \dots (\mu_e)_n^{k_n} H_{\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial y_0^{k_0} \dots \partial y_n^{k_n}}, e\right)}(C).$$

where the sum ranges over all the partitions (k_0, \dots, k_n) of k , i.e., $k_0 + \dots + k_n = k$.

Proof. By induction on k . \square

2.2 Newton polygons of sums of differential polynomials

Let F and G be two differential polynomials of degree less or equal than d . Write $F = F_0 + \dots + F_d$ and $G = G_0 + \dots + G_d$ where F_s (respectively G_s) is the homogeneous part of F (respectively G) of degree s with respect to the indeterminates y_0, \dots, y_n . For each $0 \leq s \leq d$ such that $F_s + G_s \neq 0$, let $P_s = (s_1, s)$ be the point of the plane defined by $s_1 := \min\{u; (u, s) \in P(F_s + G_s)\}$. Then it is easy to prove that the convex hull of all the points P_s for all $0 \leq s \leq d$ and $(+\infty, 0)$ in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is the Newton polygon of the differential polynomial $F + G$.

2.3 Newton polygons of evaluations of differential polynomials

Let F be a differential polynomial as in the introduction, $0 \neq c \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $G(y) = F(cx^\mu + y)$. We will discuss the construction of the Newton polygon of the differential equation $G(y) = 0$ for different values of c and μ .

For each differential monomial $m(y) = f_{i,\alpha} x^i y_0^{\alpha_0} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}$ of F with corresponding point p , compute

$$m(cx^\mu + y) = f_{i,\alpha} x^i (cx^\mu + y_0)^{\alpha_0} (c\mu x^{\mu-1} + y_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (c(\mu)_n x^{\mu-n} + y_n)^{\alpha_n}.$$

Remark that the corresponding points of the differential monomials of $m(cx^\mu + y)$ have ordinate less or equal than s and lie in the line passing through p with inclination μ . There are two possibilities for μ :

Lemma 2.2 *If $\mu = \mu_e$ is the inclination of an edge $e \in E(F)$. For any $0 \leq s \leq d$, the vertex of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of ordinate s corresponds to the differential monomial of G with coefficient equals to*

$$q_s(c, \mu_e) := \sum_{0 \leq k_0 \leq \dots \leq k_n \leq n} \frac{1}{k_0! \dots k_n!} H\left(\frac{\partial^s F}{\partial y_0^{k_0} \dots \partial y_n^{k_n}}, e\right)(c).$$

where the sum ranges over all the partitions (k_0, \dots, k_n) of s . Its x -coordinate is the minimum of the quantities $i + \mu(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n - s) - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n$ for $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha \in A$.

If $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_2$ where μ_1 and μ_2 are the inclinations of the two adjacent edges of a vertex $p = (u, v) \in V(F)$, then for any $0 \leq s \leq d$, the vertex of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of ordinate s corresponds to the differential monomial of G with coefficient equals to

$$c^{v-s} \sum_{0 \leq k_0 \leq \dots \leq k_n \leq n} \frac{1}{k_0! \dots k_n!} h\left(\frac{\partial^s F}{\partial y_0^{k_0} \dots \partial y_n^{k_n}}, p\right)(\mu).$$

where the sum ranges over all the partitions (k_0, \dots, k_n) of s .

Proof. Let $\mu = \mu_e$ for $e \in E(F)$ and compute

$$G(y) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}, \alpha \in A} f_{i,\alpha} x^i (cx^\mu + y_0)^{\alpha_0} (c\mu x^{\mu-1} + y_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (c(\mu)_n x^{\mu-n} + y_n)^{\alpha_n}.$$

For each $0 \leq s \leq d$, compute G_s the homogeneous part of G of degree s in y_0, \dots, y_n . We remark that for fixed i and α , all the differential monomials of G_s have the same corresponding point which is

$$(i + \mu(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n - s) - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n, s).$$

The x -coordinate of the vertex of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of ordinate s is the minimum of the x -coordinates $i + \mu(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n - s) - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n$ for $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha \in A$. This minimum is realized by the points $P_{i,\alpha} \in N(F, a(e), b(e))$. This proves the lemma taking into account the formula for the *characteristic* polynomial of the derivatives of F in the previous subsections. \square

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.2 of [10] which deals with the Newton polygon of the *Riccati* equation associated to a linear ordinary differential equation.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $\mu = \mu_e$ be the inclination of an edge $e \in E(F)$. The edges of $\mathcal{N}(G)$, situated above the edge e are the same as in $\mathcal{N}(F)$. Let an integer $s_1 \geq 0$ be such that $q_s(c, \mu_e) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s < s_1$ and $q_{s_1}(c, \mu_e) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{N}(G)$ has a vertex of ordinate s_1 and it has at least s_1 edges with inclination greater than μ_e .*

Proof. Let p_{s_1} be the vertex of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of ordinate s_1 and x -coordinate $x_{p_{s_1}}$ the minimum of the values $i + \mu(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n - s_1) - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n$ for $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha \in A$ (by Lemma 2.2). We have $q_{s_1-1}(c, \mu_e) = 0$, then the x -coordinate of the vertex p_{s_1-1} of ordinate $s_1 - 1$ is strictly less than the minimum of the values $i + \mu(\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_n - s_1 + 1) - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 - \dots - n\alpha_n$ for $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\alpha \in A$. Thus the inclination of the edge joining p_{s_1} and p_{s_1-1} is greater than μ . \square

Corollary 2.4 *Let $\mu = \mu_e$ be the inclination of an edge $e \in E(F)$. If $H_{(F,e)}(c) = 0$ then the intersection point of the straight line passing through e with the x -axis is not a vertex of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ and $\mathcal{N}(G)$ has an edge with inclination greater than μ_e .*

Proof. We have $q_0(c, \mu_e) = H_{(F,e)}(c) = 0$, then $s_1 \geq 1$. This proves the corollary by applying Corollary 2.3. \square

3 Differential version of the Newton-Puiseux algorithm

We describe now a differential version of the Newton-Puiseux algorithm to give formal Puiseux series solutions of the differential equation $F(y) = 0$. The input of the algorithm is a differential polynomial equation $F(y) = 0$ with the bounds described in the introduction. The algorithm will construct a tree \mathcal{T} which depends only on F and on the field K . The root of \mathcal{T} is denoted by τ_0 . For each node τ of \mathcal{T} , it constructs the following elements:

- The field K_τ which is a finite extension of K .
- The primitive element θ_τ of the extension K_τ of K with its minimal polynomial $\phi_\tau \in K[Z]$.
- An element $c_\tau \in K_\tau$, a number $\mu_\tau \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ and an element $y_\tau = c_\tau x^{\mu_\tau} + y_{\tau_1} \in K_\tau((x^{\frac{1}{\nu(\tau)}}))$ where τ is a descendant of τ_1 (here $\mu_\tau > \mu_{\tau_1}$) and $\nu(\tau) \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
- The differential polynomial $F_\tau(y) = F(y + y_\tau)$ with coefficients in $K_\tau((x^{\frac{1}{\nu(\tau)}}))$.

We define the degree of τ by $\deg(\tau) = \mu_\tau \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have $\deg(\tau) = \deg_x(y_\tau)$ if $c_\tau \neq 0$.

The level of the node τ , denoted by $lev(\tau)$, is the distance from τ_0 to τ .

For the root τ_0 we have $K_{\tau_0} = K$, $\theta_{\tau_0} = 1$, $\phi_{\tau_0} = Z - 1$, $c_{\tau_0} = y_{\tau_0} = 0$, $\deg(\tau_0) = \mu_{\tau_0} = -\infty$, $\nu(\tau_0) = 1$ and $F_{\tau_0}(y) = F(y)$.

A node τ of the tree \mathcal{T} is a leaf of \mathcal{T} if for each $e \in E(F_\tau)$ and for each $p \in V(F_\tau)$ we have $\mu_e \leq \deg(\tau)$ and $\mu_2 \leq \deg(\tau)$ and $y = 0$ is a solution of $F_\tau(y) = 0$, where $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ are the inclinations of the adjacent edges at p in $\mathcal{N}(F)$.

The algorithm constructs the tree \mathcal{T} by induction on the level of its nodes. We suppose by induction on i that all the nodes of \mathcal{T} of level $\leq i$ are constructed. Denote by \mathcal{T}_i the set of these nodes. At the $(i + 1)$ -th step of the induction, for each node τ of level i which is not a leaf of \mathcal{T} we consider the following two sets:

- $E'(F_\tau) = \{e \in E(F_\tau), \mu_e > \deg(\tau)\}$ and
- $V'(F_\tau) = \{p \in V(F_\tau), \mu_2 > \deg(\tau)\}$.

For each $e \in E'(F_\tau)$, compute a factorization of the polynomial $H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C) \in K_\tau[C]$ into irreducible factors over the field $K_\tau = K[\theta_\tau]$ in the form

$$H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C) = \lambda_e \prod_j H_j^{k_j}$$

where $0 \neq \lambda_e \in K_\tau$, $k_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $H_j \in K_\tau[C]$ are monic and irreducibles over K_τ . We can do this factorization by the algorithm of [3, 4, 6, 9]. The elements of the set $A_{(F_\tau, e)}$ correspond to the roots of the factors $H_j \neq C$. We consider a root $c_j \in \overline{K}$ for each factor $H_j \neq C$ and we compute a primitive element $\theta_{j, e, \tau}$ of the finite extension $K_\tau[c_j] = K[\theta_\tau, c_j]$ of K with its minimal polynomial $\phi_{j, e, \tau} \in K[Z]$ using the algorithm of [3, 6, 9].

For each root c_j of $H_j \neq C$ we correspond a son σ of τ such that $\theta_\sigma = \theta_{j, e, \tau}$, the field $K_\sigma = K[\theta_{j, e, \tau}]$ and the minimal polynomial of θ_σ over K is $\phi_\sigma = \phi_{j, e, \tau}$. Moreover, $c_\sigma = c_j$, $\mu_\sigma = \mu_e$, $y_\sigma = c_\sigma x^{\mu_\sigma} + y_\tau$ and $F_\sigma(y) = F(y + y_\sigma)$. For $\nu(\sigma)$, we take $\nu(\sigma) = LCM(\nu(\tau), a(e))$ for example.

For each $p \in V'(F_\tau)$, we consider the *indicial* polynomial $h_{(F_\tau, p)}(\mu) \in K_\tau[\mu]$ of F_τ associated to p . To each $\mu \in A_{(F_\tau, p)}$ such that $\mu > \deg(\tau)$ and $0 \neq c \in \overline{K}$ (where c is given by its minimal polynomial over K), we correspond a son σ of τ such that $\theta_\sigma = c$, $c_\sigma = c$, $\mu_\sigma = \mu$. This completes the description of all the sons of the node τ of the tree \mathcal{T} .

Remark 3.1 *i) If $(E'(F_\tau) \neq \emptyset$ or $V'(F_\tau) \neq \emptyset)$ and $y = 0$ is a solution of $F_\tau(y) = 0$ then one of the sons of τ is a leaf σ for which $F_\sigma = F_\tau$, $\mu_\sigma = +\infty$ and $c_\sigma = 0$.*

ii) For any node τ of \mathcal{T} such that $\deg(\tau) \neq \infty$, if $y = 0$ is not a solution of $F_\tau(y) = 0$ then $E'(F_\tau) \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 2.4.

3.1 Determination of the solutions of $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} by the leaves of \mathcal{T}

Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all the vertices τ of \mathcal{T} such that either $\deg(\tau) = +\infty$ and for the ancestor τ_1 of τ it holds $\deg(\tau_1) < +\infty$ or $\deg(\tau) < +\infty$ and τ is a leaf of \mathcal{T} . For each $\tau \in \mathcal{U}$, there

exists a sequence $(\tau_i(\tau))_{i \geq 0}$ of vertices of \mathcal{T} such that $\tau_0(\tau) = \tau_0$ and $\tau_{i+1}(\tau)$ is a son of $\tau_i(\tau)$ for all $i \geq 0$. For each $\tau \in \mathcal{U}$, the element

$$y_\tau = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_{\tau_i(\tau)} x^{\mu_{\tau_i(\tau)}} \in K_\tau((x^{\frac{1}{\nu(\tau)}}))$$

is a solution of $F(y) = 0$. In fact, there are two possibilities to τ : if $\deg(\tau) = +\infty$ then $y = 0$ is a solution of $F_{\tau_1}(y) = 0$ where τ is a son of τ_1 and so y_{τ_1} is a solution of $F(y) = 0$. If $\deg(\tau) < +\infty$ and τ is a leaf of \mathcal{T} then $y = 0$ is a solution of $F_\tau(y) = F(y + y_\tau) = 0$ and so y_τ is a solution of $F(y) = 0$. This defines a bijection between \mathcal{U} and the set of the solutions of $F(y) = 0$ in \mathcal{L} .

3.2 Binary complexity analysis of the Newton-Puiseux algorithm

We begin by estimating the binary complexity of computing all the sons of the root τ_0 of \mathcal{T} . For each $e \in E(F)$, we consider the polynomial $H_{(F,e)}(C) \in K[C]$, its degree w.r.t. C (respectively T_1, \dots, T_l) is bounded by d (respectively d_2). We have $\mu_e \leq \frac{d_3}{d}$ and its binary length is $l(\mu_e) \leq O(\log_2(dd_3))$ (using the fact that μ_e is the inclination of the straight line passing through e). Then the binary length of $H_{(F,e)}(C)$ is bounded by $M_2 + ndO(\log_2(dd_3))$. By the algorithm of [3, 4, 6, 9] the binary complexity of factoring $H_{(F,e)}(C)$ into irreducible polynomials over K is

$$(dd_1d_2)^{O(l)}(nd_0M_1M_2\log_2(dd_3))^{O(1)}.$$

Moreover, each factor $H_j \in K[C]$ of $H_{(F,e)}(C)$ satisfies the following bounds (see Lemma 1.3 of [6]): $\deg_C(H_j) \leq d$, $\deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(H_j) \leq d_2(dd_0d_1)^{O(1)}$ and

$$l(H_j) \leq nld_2(dd_0d_1)^{O(1)}M_1M_2\log_2(dd_3).$$

By the induction we suppose that the following bounds hold at the i -th step of the algorithm for each node τ of \mathcal{T} of level i :

- $\deg_Z(\phi_\tau) \leq d^i$.
- $\deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(\phi_\tau), \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(c_\tau) \leq R(i)$.
- $l(\phi_\tau), l(c_\tau) \leq S(i)$ where $R(i)$ and $S(i)$ are as in the introduction.
- $\mu_\tau \leq i(\frac{d_3}{d})$ and then $l(\mu_\tau) \leq O(\log_2(idd_3))$.

Then we have the following bounds for the differential polynomial $F_\tau(y) = F(y + y_\tau) \in K_\tau((x^{\frac{1}{\nu(\tau)}}))[y_0, \dots, y_n]$:

- $\deg_{y_0, \dots, y_n}(F_\tau) \leq d$.
- $\deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(F_\tau) \leq d_2 + d\deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(c_\tau) \leq R(i)$.
- $\deg_x(F_\tau) \leq d_3 + d\mu_\tau \leq (i+1)d_3$.

$$- l(F_\tau) \leq M_2 + dl(c_\tau) \leq S(i).$$

We compute a primitive element η_1 of the finite extension K_τ over the field $\mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)$, i.e., $K_\tau = K[\theta_\tau] = \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[\eta][\theta_\tau] = \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[\eta_1]$ by the corollary of Proposition 1.4 of [10] (see also section 3 of chapter 1 of [6]). Moreover, $\eta_1 = \eta + \gamma\theta_\tau$ where $0 \leq \gamma \leq [K_\tau : \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)] = \deg_Z(\phi) \deg_Z(\phi_\tau) \leq d^i d_0$ and we can compute the monic minimal polynomial $\phi_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[Z]$ of η_1 which satisfies the following bounds:

$$- \deg_Z(\phi_1) \leq d^i d_0$$

$$- \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(\phi_1) \leq (d^i d_0)^{O(1)}$$

$$- l(\phi_1) \leq S(i).$$

- This computation can be done with binary complexity $S(i)$.

For each $e \in E'(F_\tau)$, we consider the polynomial $H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C) \in K_\tau[C]$, its degree w.r.t. C (respectively T_1, \dots, T_l) is bounded by d (respectively $R(i)$). We have $\mu_e \leq (i+1)(\frac{d_3}{d})$ and its binary length is $l(\mu_e) \leq O\left(\log_2((i+1)dd_3)\right)$. Then the binary length of $H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C)$ is bounded by

$$l(F_\tau) + ndl(\mu_e) \leq S(i).$$

By the algorithm of [3, 4, 6, 9] the binary complexity of factoring $H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C)$ into irreducible polynomials over $K_\tau = \mathbb{Q}(T_1, \dots, T_l)[\eta_1]$ is $S(i)$. Moreover, each factor $H_j \in K_\tau[C]$ of $H_{(F_\tau, e)}(C)$ satisfies the following bounds:

$$- \deg_C(H_j) \leq d$$

$$- \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(H_j) \leq R(i).$$

$$- l(H_j) \leq S(i).$$

Let $c_j \in \overline{K}$ be a root of H_j . We can compute by the corollary of Proposition 1.4 of [10] a primitive element $\theta_{j, e, \tau}$ of the finite extension $K_\tau[c_j] = K[\theta_\tau, c_j]$ of K with its minimal polynomial $\phi_{j, e, \tau} \in K[Z]$. We can express $\theta_\sigma = \theta_{j, e, \tau}$ in the form $\theta_\sigma = \theta_\tau + \gamma_j c_j$ where $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq \deg_Z(\phi_\tau) \deg_C(H_j) \leq d^{i+1}$ and $c_\sigma = c_j$ in the form

$$c_\sigma = \sum_{0 \leq t < d^{i+1}} b_t \theta_\sigma^t$$

where $b_t \in K$. Moreover, the following bounds hold:

$$- \deg_Z(\phi_\sigma) \leq d^{i+1}.$$

$$- \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(\phi_\sigma), \deg_{T_1, \dots, T_l}(b_t) \leq R(i).$$

$$- l(\phi_\sigma), l(b_t) \leq S(i).$$

- $\mu_\sigma = \mu_e \leq (i+1)(\frac{d_3}{d})$ and then $l(\mu_\sigma) \leq O\left(\log_2((i+1)dd_3)\right)$.

- This computation can be done with binary complexity $S(i)$ and thus the total binary complexity of computing all the sons σ of τ is $S(i)$.

References

- [1] J. Cano, *On the series defined by differential equations, with an extension of the Puiseux Polygon construction to these equations*, International Mathematical Journal of Analysis and its Applications, 13, 1993, p. 103-119.
- [2] J. Cano, *The Newton Polygon Method for Differential Equations*, Computer Algebra and Geometric Algebra with Applications, 2005, p. 18-30.
- [3] A. Chistov, D. Grigoriev, *Polynomial-time factoring of the multivariable polynomials over a global field*, Preprint LOMI E-5-82, Leningrad, 1982.
- [4] A.L. Chistov, D. Grigoriev, *Subexponential-time solving systems of algebraic equations, I and II*, LOMI Preprint, Leningrad, 1983, E-9-83, E-10-83.
- [5] A. Chistov, *Polynomial Complexity of the Newton-Puiseux Algorithm*, Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1986, p. 247 - 255.
- [6] A.L. Chistov, *Algorithm of polynomial complexity for factoring polynomials and finding the components of varieties in subexponential time*, J. Sov. Math., 34(1986), No. 4 p. 1838-1882.
- [7] A.L. Chistov, *Polynomial complexity algorithms for computational problems in the theory of algebraic curves*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 59 (3), 1992, p. 855-867.
- [8] J. Della Dora, F. Richard-Jung, *About the Newton algorithm for non-linear ordinary differential equations*, Proceedings of the 1997 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation, United States, p. 298 - 304.
- [9] D. Grigoriev, *Factorization of polynomials over a finite field and the solution of systems of algebraic equations*, J. Sov. Math., 34(1986), No.4 p. 1762-1803.
- [10] D. Grigoriev, *Complexity of factoring and GCD calculating of ordinary linear differential operators*, J. Symp. Comput., 1990, vol.10, N 1, p. 7-37.
- [11] D. Grigoriev, M. Singer, *Solving ordinary differential equations in terms of series with real exponents*, Trans. AMS, 1991, vol. 327, N 1, p. 329-351.
- [12] K. Mahler *On formal power series as integrals of algebraic differential equations*, Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Serie VIII, Vol 50, 1971, p. 76-89.
- [13] W. Wasow, *Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations*, New York: Krieger Publ. Co. 1976.